

## **Human Rights, Environment, and Economic Development: Existing and Emerging Standards in International Law and Global Society**

<http://www.ciel.org/Publications/olp3vii.html> : Visited April 18, 2013

### **V. Evolution of a New Tri-partite Approach**

Three areas of international law: human rights, environmental protection and economic development, will be the principal sources of normative standards for the ECO. The following section provides an overview of these three areas with particular reference to their convergence under the rubric of sustainable development.

#### **International Human Rights Law**

Higgins has aptly characterized human rights as "right[s] held vis-a-vis the state, by virtue of being a human being." The types of rights identified under human rights law are generally divided into three categories: 1) political and civil rights ("1st generation human rights"); 2) social and economic rights ("2nd generation human rights"); and, 3) "solidarity" rights ("3rd generation human rights").

First generation rights refer to traditional civil and political liberties prominent in Western liberal democracies, such as freedom of speech, of religion, and of the press, and freedom from torture, which presuppose a duty of non-interference on the part of government towards individuals. These rights are the "classical" human rights contained in notable instruments such as the Bill of Rights of the United States Constitution. For many years, the dominant position in United States and other western states was that only these rights were genuine human rights. Non-western and socialist perspectives have been highly critical of this outlook, particularly because it ignores alternative conceptions of the meaning and substance of human rights. The leading international instrument codifying what are now known as first generation rights is the United Nations International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (1966). First generation rights are also contained among the first 21 Articles of the United Nations Universal Declaration of Human Rights (1948).

Second generation rights have generally been considered as rights which require affirmative government action for their realization. Second generation rights are often styled as "group rights" or "collective rights", in that they pertain to the well-being of whole societies. They contrast with first generation rights which have been perceived as "individual entitlements," particularly the prerogatives of individuals contrary to those of collectivities. Principle advocates of collective rights have been developing countries and formerly the Socialist Bloc countries. Some countries supporting second

generation rights have argued for their realization first, as a pre-condition for the eventual realization of civil and political rights.

Additionally, some advocates for the pre-eminence of second generation collective or group rights have postulated that contrary to Western conceptions, the substance of human rights is not universal and that economic, social and cultural factors determine the applicability of particular rights in different countries. This has been used as a justification for denying civil and political rights or delaying their protection until group rights have been realized. Religious, cultural or socio-economic factors in a state therefore might be relied upon to preclude recognition of "alien" western ideas such as freedom of conscience or press freedom. Human rights advocates such as Higgins disagree with this line of reasoning:

"It is sometimes suggested that there can be no fully universal concept of human rights, for it is necessary to take into account the diverse cultures and political systems of the world. It is rarely advanced by the oppressed, who are only too anxious to benefit from perceived universal standards. The non-universal, relativist view of human rights is in fact a very state-centered view and loses sight of the fact that human rights are human rights and not dependent on the fact that states, or groupings of states, may behave differently from each other so far as their politics, economic policy, and culture are concerned."

The Vienna Declaration of 1993 disclaimed any priority of rights. It declared that "[w]hile development facilitates the enjoyment of all human rights, the lack of development may not be invoked to justify the abridgement of internationally recognized human rights."

Examples of second generation rights include the right to education, to work, to social security, food, to self-determination, and to an adequate standard of living. These rights are codified in the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (1966), and also in Articles 23-29 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (1948). Writers reluctant to recognize second generation rights as human rights have often based their arguments on the assumption that courts are unable to enforce affirmative duties on states and that therefore such rights are mere aspirational statements. Similarly, critics have opined that regardless of the political system or level of economic development, all states are able to comply with civil and political rights, but not all states have the ability to provide the financial and technical resources for the realization of affirmative obligations such as education and an adequate standard of living.

Higgins and others note, however, that both case law and scholarship increasingly recognize that the realization of first generation rights may also require affirmative action on the part of governments, thus blurring the traditional distinction between first and second generation rights based on negative and positive duties in governmental behavior. Additionally, the last

two decades have witnessed increasing emphasis on the "indivisibility and interdependence" of all human rights. This position was most recently affirmed in the 1995 Beijing Declaration and Platform for Action of the Fourth World Conference on Women.

Treating all human rights as universal and indivisible responds to the arguments regarding whether economic and social, or political and civil rights are paramount by advocating that all human rights are connected and equally important for their mutual realization. This viewpoint is also supported by advocates of third generation rights, who argue that the realization of third generation rights is dependent on the realization of first and second generation rights and also that the full expression of first and second generation rights is dependent on third generation rights.

Third Generation or "solidarity" rights is the most recently recognized category of human rights. This grouping has been distinguished from the other two categories of human rights in that its realization is predicated not only upon both the affirmative and negative duties of the state, but also upon the behavior of each individual:

"[Third Generation Rights]...may be both invoked against the State and demanded of it; but above all (and herein lies their essential characteristic) they can be realized only through the concerted efforts of all actors on the social scene: the individual, the State, public and private bodies and the international community."

Rights in this category include self-determination, as well as a host of normative expressions whose status as human rights is controversial at present. These include the right to development, the right to peace, and a right to a healthy environment. Some texts such as the Final Report of the United Nations Commission on Human Rights, Sub-commission on Prevention of Discrimination and Protection of Minorities appear to take it as given that there is already an existing right to environment recognized in international instruments. Others such as Higgins express serious reservations regarding the existence of a right to a healthy environment as well as the viability of other third generation rights. Higgins' main criticism concerns what she observes to be the indeterminacy regarding those who are obliged to effect the realization of these rights as well as the substantive content entailed by such rights. Specifically, regarding the "right to development" she states that

"...[I]t has been much supported by leading writers and there does exist a UN General Assembly Resolution on the subject, and it has been frequently invoked by various international bodies. But it is noticeably absent from the International Covenant on Economic, Social, and Cultural Rights...[F]ormidable problems remain about classifying it as a human right. The right-holders are presumably the peoples of developing territories. But what the right entails is for the moment very unclear. ...[M]uch of the

literature that proclaims the existence of the right ignores this question entirely..."

While noting the reservations expressed by commentators such as Higgins regarding the indeterminate content of the right, the "right to development" continues to be affirmed in major contemporary international instruments such as the Rio Declaration of 1992, the Vienna Declaration of 1993, and the Copenhagen Declaration of 1995. Additionally, in its 1994 Report the International Law Association appears to have accepted the validity of the right to development as a human right, and noted that "...[t]he right to development should be elaborated in the context of the other collective human rights and of all individual human rights..." Likewise, in the ILA report and in the UN declarations, the right to development is now linked with environmental protection. Examples of this include the Principle 3 of the Rio Declaration which states that " [t]he right to development must be fulfilled so as to equitably meet developmental and environmental needs of present and future generations." In its affirmation of the right to development , the Vienna Declaration expressly linked human rights to protection of the environment in stating:

"The World Conference on Human Rights recognizes that illicit dumping of toxic and dangerous substances and waste potentially constitutes a serious threat to the human rights to life and health of everyone."

An alternative perspective supported by "deep ecologists" discusses recognition of rights for the environment itself on the basis of the environment's intrinsic value, rather than as a means for promoting existing human rights. This view proposes that

"...to stop seeing nature as some kind of 'other' with whom we must learn to co-exist, and instead come to see gophers, trees and rivers as extensions of 'us', worthy of the same kind of care that we unreservedly bestow upon ourselves..."

This approach is encapsulated in the phrase "biospherical egalitarianism;" it diverges from labeling a right to a healthy environment as a human rights issue, and instead considers the possibility of environmental rights for the environment itself. Its adherents conclude that the concept of rights as utilized in legal discourse is an inadequate device.

"...[T]he granting of rights to the environment may in fact 'devalue' it...[because]...[o]nly isolated entities can have rights; relationships cannot. To ascribe rights to the environment is to engage in a project of dividing up nature, rather than respecting its ecological wholeness..."

It advocates an ontological transformation away from what it identifies as the "impoverished language of rights..[and instead toward]...a language of environmental protection that is 'ecologically conscious.'" Although this

approach may appear to be inappropriate for promoting the protection of human rights, its authors suggest that "biospherical egalitarianism" will facilitate overall human welfare by re-defining value priorities. This perspective will not be discussed further but is provided to highlight the existence of divergent methodologies on the use of "rights" in the context of human rights and environmental protection.

Environmental degradation is increasingly receiving international coverage within the context of human rights issues. A recent example of this is the execution by the Nigerian Government of the human rights activist Ken Saro-Wiwa, who had protested against serious environmental damage to the Ogoni homeland of his people that resulted from the petroleum extraction activities of the Shell Petroleum Development Company. Other recent examples demonstrating the relationship between human rights abuses and environmental degradation are the sterilization and other pesticide-related health and environmental consequences suffered by workers on banana plantations owned by transnational enterprises in Central America, and the industrial contamination of the fishing grounds and other resources of Iroquois communities along the St. Lawrence River in New York State and Quebec Province.

Recognition of the link between abuse of human rights of various vulnerable communities and related damage to their environment is expressed under the term "eco-justice" or "environmental justice." This issue was previously discussed in this paper the section on Intergenerational Equity. In both the industrialized and developing parts of the world a growing body of evidence demonstrates that poor and other disenfranchised groups have been the greatest victims of environmental degradation. The types of abuses documented include the location of toxic waste sites in areas primarily occupied by communities of color in the United States, the forced relocation of marginalized and aboriginal populations in India, China and elsewhere in order to build infrastructure projects and undertake other development activities, and the refusal of governments to recognize indigenous territorial rights as in the case of Amazonian Brazil and various Asian nations.

The concept of eco-justice embraces two objectives. The first is to ensure that rights and responsibilities regarding the utilization of environmental resources are distributed with greater fairness among communities both globally and domestically. This entails ensuring that poor and marginalized communities do not suffer a disproportionate burden of the costs of development of resources, while not enjoying equivalent benefits from their utilization. The second is to reduce the overall amount of environmental damage both domestically and globally. This latter aspect of eco-justice has been included in various international instruments including principle 7 of the Rio Declaration. It holds that: "To achieve sustainable development and a higher quality of life for all people, States should reduce and eliminate unsustainable patterns of production and consumption..." This proposition has been affirmed most recently in the Beijing Declaration which asserted that

"...[T]he major cause of the environmental deterioration of the global environment is the unsustainable pattern of consumption and production, particularly in industrialized countries, which is a matter of grave concern, aggravating poverty and imbalances..."

## **International Environmental Law**

Modern environmental law has its origins in three primary areas: 1) national and international wildlife conservation regimes during the past century; 2) fisheries management regulations arising out of international law relating to the sea; and, 3) customary international law developed out of several international judicial and arbitration decisions dealing with state responsibility for transboundary activities.

The first treaty to address issues that now would fall under the rubric of "environmental law" was a multilateral convention of 1886 regulating fishing in the Rhine River Basin. Likewise perhaps the most progressive (in terms of addressing cross-sectoral issues such as human equity objectives and economic development) of the early regimes directed at environmental considerations also concerned wildlife, namely the Bering fur seal populations. Unlike many later conservation regimes, the Bering fur seal treaties sought to ensure what today would be characterized as "sustainable" benefits from the utilization of a living resources through integrating economic, conservation and social equity concerns. For example, as a means for sharing the benefits from utilization of the resource, the treaties provided compensation for those state Parties which abandoned unsustainable harvesting methods. They also provided exemptions for traditional subsistence use by local aboriginal communities.

Historically, wildlife conservation approaches have fallen into two major regime types:

1) "Preservationism": separating "pristine nature" from man thereby approximating a mythical "state of nature"; such schemes have proven largely ineffective especially in developing countries where conservation laws were imposed by colonial governments or other elites on disenfranchised local populations; or 2) treating living resources as if they were the products of a factory assembly line or crops in a garden, without properly considering the complex relationships between living resources, and the impacts of harvesting one resource on the viability of other resources. See preamble, Convention for the Preservation of Wild Animals, Birds and Fish in Africa of 19 May 1900, 94 BFSP. 715.

Another manifestation of this one-dimensional approach is typified by a number of fisheries treaties relying upon the concept of "maximum sustainable yield" ("MSY")

"...the level at which the greatest quantity of fish can be caught year after year without the total size of the stock being adversely affected [assuming no environmental factors upset the balance], from R. R. Churchill and A.V. Lowe, *The Law of the Sea*, Manchester University Press, 1988, p. 226. See R.D. Munro and J.G. Lammers (eds.), *Environmental Protection and Sustainable Development. Legal Principles and Recommendations*, Graham & Trotman/Martinus Nijhoff, London, 1986, p.47.

. For example, most fisheries agreements, such as the Convention for the Establishment of the Inter- American Tropical Tuna Commission of 1949, as well as the Convention on the Conservation of the Living Resources of the High Seas of 1958, stressed conservation only in so far as it related to obtaining the maximum catch possible of particular target species. They did not consider other social or ecological impacts of the harvesting of that resource.

One consequence of these earlier preservationist approaches was that the legal structures and accessibility restrictions created to realize these goals often had dramatic negative consequences for local communities, many of which had previously utilized the now "protected" floral and faunal resources for their livelihood.

During the past twenty years, scientists and policy-makers have been confronted with the fact that throughout much of the world the preservationist "state of nature" model for the protection of forests and other biological resources has become increasingly ineffective and inappropriate. Additionally, focusing exclusively on economic productivity without considering ecosystem and social impacts has all-too-often proven to be counter-productive. In the light of this, environmental organizations have shifted their focus concerning the conservation. This new attitude has been molded by increasingly severe environmental problems and socio-economic inequities, especially between developing countries and industrialized countries.

A growing body of field research indicates that the conservation and sustainable utilization of biodiversity, including forests both within and outside protected areas, cannot be effectively promoted unless the concerns, aspirations, and rights of local, natural resource-dependent populations are addressed. This observation has been confirmed by studies recommending diversification of local economies, improving access of communities to markets, investing in profitable and sustainable uses of natural resources and ensuring local access to economic and political decision-making processes.

There is now increased attention to the human component of conservation of biological resources. This new focus becomes evident when the Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Fauna and Flora (CITES) of 1973 is compared with the 1992 Convention on Biological Diversity, and the

1995 Straddling and Highly Migratory Fish Stocks Agreement (Straddling Fish Stocks Agreement").

The CITES contains no provisions concerning the rights and interests of local resource-dependent communities, despite the fact that a significant amount of the harvesting of species covered under the convention is traditionally conducted by local communities both for personal consumption as well as domestic and foreign markets. The CBD, by contrast, expressly mentions the important relationship between indigenous and other local communities, and sustainable utilization of biodiversity; it also suggests (but does not require) that these communities should share equitably in benefits derived from these resources. Likewise, although its subject matter is fish species that inhabit areas far from coastal waters and which are exploited primarily by industrial harvesting enterprises, the Straddling Fish Stocks Agreement contains provisions for the state Parties to: "take into account the interests of artisanal and subsistence fisheries..." as part of the duty to cooperate under the agreement to conserve and manage fish populations.

At the regional level, the recently drafted Lusaka Agreement on Co-operative Enforcement Operations Directed at Illegal Trade in Wild Fauna and Flora, in Eastern and Southern Africa refers to participation of local communities as means for implementing its objectives:

"...Each Party shall encourage public awareness campaigns aimed at enlisting public support for the objective of this Agreement, and said campaigns shall be so designed as to encourage public reporting of illegal trade."

Thus, international conservation regimes now recognize the need to address the rights and interests of local communities, which includes human development objectives in general, for facilitating conservation. This perspective is further supported in the Convention on Biological Diversity, which highlights the key roles played by women, and indigenous and other local communities in the conservation of biodiversity. The CBD's preamble makes clear that the convention "...[r]ecogniz[es]...that economic and social development and poverty eradication are the first and overriding priorities of developing countries." Conservation of resources of biological diversity is therefore now viewed holistically through the consideration of human needs as a vital part of conservation.

The other major source of material in the development of international environmental law is state practice recognizing legal principles articulated in several famous international arbitration and judicial decisions. These include the Trail Smelter arbitration, the Corfu Channel case and the Lake Lanoux arbitration.

The most widely accepted norm of international environmental law is principle 21 of the Stockholm Declaration of 1972. It provides that



"States have, in accordance with the Charter of the United Nations and the principles of international law, the sovereign right to exploit their own resources, pursuant to their own environmental policies, and the responsibility to ensure that activities within their jurisdiction or control do not cause damage to the environment of other States or of areas beyond the limits of national jurisdiction."

The two-pronged principle set out in Stockholm Principle 21 (and discussed in the previous section) is probably both the most generally accepted component in international law relating to environmental protection, and widely considered a fully-fledged rule of customary international law.

Stockholm Principle 21 has been the most recognizable international environmental legal standard, although at present other normative standards such as inter-generational equity, public participation in decision-making and the precautionary principle have emerged in wide variety of contexts as recognized international legal norms of varying content. The language of Stockholm Principle 21 reflects a truly multinational input to the development of international law. It combines the perspectives of both the South and the North. This synergism symbolizes a new type of arrangement between developing and industrialized countries for agreeing on common norms and strategies for conserving and utilizing environmental resources.

**Part V, Con't** (Click [here](#) to go to previous page.)

### **International Economic Development Law**

The Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD), the United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD) and the GATT/WTO ("World Trade Organization") have been the primary international organizations impacting on international economic development law. The three organizations reflect the often divergent agendas of developing countries and industrialized countries. The OECD is widely viewed as an exclusive club of major industrialized nations, and the guidelines and other instruments that it has produced reflect this orientation. UNCTAD was established to advocate for the economic agenda of the developing countries and to promote trade matters relevant to their economies, such as multilateral commodity agreements for primary products such as jute, rubber, sugar and tropical timber. Although it contains provisions for assisting in mitigating possible negative effects of liberalized trade on socio-economic conditions in developing countries the WTO structure is geared principally toward addressing complex trade concerns of advanced market economies. The GATT/WTO's principal concession to environmental concerns is a Ministerial Decision on Trade in Services and the Environment. It merely recommends that the WTO Committee on Trade and Environment examine whether there need to be provisions in addition to the existing Article XIV (b) of the GATT referring to measures necessary to protect human, animal or plant life or health, in order to address conflicts between trade and

environmental protection measures. The impact of trade and trade policies on human rights is not expressly addressed either in the GATT or in supplemental ministerial declarations. In the areas of environmental protection and human rights, the GATT/WTO structure does not adequately facilitate a symmetrical relationship with trade considerations.

Despite the fact that UNCTAD's instruments have evidenced concern over international inequities regarding terms of trade and use of resources between North and South, much of economic law produced by the industrialized states has related to regulating the flow of finance among private international banking institutions and has not addressed social equity or environmental impacts. The economic law instruments produced by the OECD have primarily addressed economic issues of importance to developed countries, such as the global movement of capital, the regulation of and standardization of international financial instruments, trade-policies concerning trade and financial services primarily in advanced industrialized markets.

Previous influential paradigms for economic development assumed that economic development entailed foregoing human rights priorities during the period that countries were on the road to achieving "development". A major corollary of this view was that curtailing civil and political rights, as well as equitable economic distribution, enhanced capital accumulation, infrastructural development and economic growth. Several Latin American countries including Brazil and Chile pursued this approach between the 1960s and 1980s. In the case of Brazil, the result has been the development of a nation with one of the most asymmetrical income distributions between rich and poor of any country in the world. Attempts at achieving economic development through this approach have also produced negative side-effects threatening the sustainability of long-term economic growth. These include contamination and other degradation of important natural resources such as soil, freshwater and forests as well as serious health consequences for human populations. It should be noted that the notion that civil and political rights are expendable in order to achieve economic growth has not been limited to countries pursuing capitalist development strategies. It was also employed extensively in the socialist bloc as evidenced by the collectivization policies of the Soviet Union in the pre-World War II period and China following 1948.

### **Emergence of North vs. South Equity Issues**

The perspective of developing countries (the so-called "South") regarding international economic development has been reflected in the strategy supported by UNCTAD for the creation of a new international economic order. The position of the majority of the South throughout much of the past three decades concerning resource management and development was articulated in two major groups of documents in the period leading up to the agenda laid out in Our Common Future in 1987. The first of these is the series of United Nations General Assembly resolutions dealing with national sovereignty over

natural resources. These resolutions were aimed at changing politico-economic relationships by articulating new international normative legal standards.

By the early 1960s, many developing countries had just recently attained political independence from former colonial overseers. Important economic assets, however, such as mineral deposits in the new states were often still owned or controlled by interests of the former colonial ruling state or other foreign business entities. Under these circumstances, many intellectuals and leaders in developing countries believed that Third World formal de jure independence was a sham, and that developing countries could realize de facto political independence only if they also had effective sovereignty over all economic assets including natural resources, located within their jurisdictions.

The most well-known of these resolutions is UNGA 1803 of 1962, declaring "...the right of peoples and nations to permanent sovereignty over their natural wealth and resources in the interest of their national development." Its contents are generally considered to be reflective or declarative of existing customary international law. In a sense, this was a triumph for developing countries; they obtained recognition from the industrialized states that the South's position regarding ownership, management and use of natural resources as articulated in UNGA 1803 evidenced the accepted international law on the subject.

Subsequent UN General Assembly resolutions developed further the South's perspective regarding ownership and management of natural resources and expressed developing country objectives for restructuring international politico-economic relations. These included UNGA Resolution 3281: the Charter of Economic Rights and Duties of States; and 3201 (S-VI) (1974): Declaration on the Establishment of a New International Economic Order ("NIEO"). Both reaffirmed UNGA 1803 and, inter alia, proclaimed the right of states to nationalize their natural resources.

Many western writers, by contrast, argue that 3201 is not reflective of customary international law, particularly regarding 3201's standards for compensation for state-acquisition of foreign-owned property. The demands for restructured economic relations between the North and the South contained in UNGA 3201, however, continue to be made in the dialogue between developing and industrialized countries.

Various parts of the agenda promoted in UNGA 3201 have influenced the articulation of rights and duties of states under international legal instruments. These are observable in sections of recent environmental protection agreements, in the provisions relating to "common but differentiated responsibilities", and "equitable sharing" between states of the benefits for the use of environmental knowledge and other resources. Some developing countries used the agenda articulated in these resolutions to justify nationalization and expropriation of foreign-owned natural resource

concessions and other assets. These measures were the subject of a string of well-known arbitration decisions, several of which discussed at length both the legal validity of the nationalizations and the normative status of the General Assembly resolutions.

In addition to the General Assembly resolutions, key of note within the UN system that concerned North-South economic relations, and emphasize considerations of equity and the need to address global environmental problems arising out of the development process for both developing and industrialized countries. The other major group of materials are three important texts reflect this movement: the Founex Report in 1971, the Stockholm Declaration in 1972, and the Cocoyoc Declaration in 1974. Each of these texts sought to address in varying degrees greater equity in relations between North and South over utilization of natural resources and in drawing further attention to the serious socio-economic problems in developing countries that were contributing to environmental degradation. They provide the intellectual foundation for the Rio Declaration of 1992. **"Development" As Articulated In International Instruments**

The term "development" is widely used, but rarely specifically defined, in international agreements or other instruments. Two representative definitions for the term are provided by the World Conservation Strategy ("WCS") and the United Nations Declaration on the Right to Development. The definition provided by the WCS is directed at the relationship between conservation and development. According to the WCS, development is:

"... modification of the biosphere and the application of human financial, living and non-living resources to satisfy human needs and improve the quality of human life."

The United Nations Declaration on the Right to Development characterizes development as:

"...a comprehensive economic, social, cultural and political process, which aims at the constant improvement of the well-being of the entire population and of all individuals on the basis of their active, free and meaningful participation in development and in the fair distribution of benefits resulting therefrom."

Although both characterizations stress the improvement of human welfare, the WCS includes environmental resources in this process. The UN Declaration on the Right to Development does not refer at all to the environment despite its pronouncement six years after the publication of the WCS. The Declaration on the Right to Development was supported overwhelmingly by developing countries, and articulates their concerns with improving immediate socio-economic conditions in the Third World. The omission of any reference to the environment reflects both the low level of attention accorded to environmental issues until recently as well as

developing country fears that preoccupation by industrialized countries with environmental issues would jeopardize the South's development needs.

### **International Law on Sustainable Development**

Despite many often conflicting concerns and goals, the Earth Summit (UNCED) in 1992 witnessed a remarkable forging of environmental protection, human rights, and economic concerns and strategies. This convergence is most accurately reflected in what has been called "international law of sustainable development." The UNCED documents suggest that sustainable development is already a part of the general body of international law and that a distinct area of law already exists covering its subject matter. Principle 27 of the Rio Declaration, proclaims that

"States and people shall cooperate in good faith and in a spirit of partnership in the fulfilment of the principles embodied in this Declaration and in the further development of international law in the field of sustainable development." [emphasis supplied]

Likewise, Agenda 21's Chapter 39, entitled "International Legal Instruments and Mechanisms" refers to "...[t]he further development of international law on sustainable development ..." as one of the "vital aspects of the universal, multilateral and bilateral treaty-making process..." [emphasis supplied]

Whether there actually exists a field of law known as the international law of sustainable development has been the subject at several meetings of international legal experts. The highlighted portions of the above texts suggest that there is an already existing corpus of international law, namely the "international law in the field of sustainable development." Assuming that there is an emerging corpus of legal components which comprise the law for achieving sustainable development, opinions are divided on its contents. Is "sustainable development" a body of law in its own right, or a subsidiary component to the established fields of environmental, human rights and development law? This question remains unanswered at the moment. However, it is suggested that the rights and principles discussed in Section(s) III and IV of this paper offer a useful normative framework for law in the field of sustainable development where human rights, environmental protection and economic development objectives clash and converge.

The meaning of "sustainable development" is discussed as follows. Chapter 2 of Our Common Future (the report of the Brundtland Commission) begins:

"Sustainable development is development that meets the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs."

Although these words are often quoted in isolation, as if to imply that the Brundtland Commission had nothing more to say on the matter, the text is qualified by the following language:

"It ['sustainable development'] contains within it two key concepts:

- \* the concept of "needs", in particular the essential needs of the world's poor, to which overriding priority should be given; and
- \* the idea of limitations imposed by the state of technology and social organization on the environment's ability to meet present and future needs."

Two points, which constitute basic elements of sustainable development, stand out from the above language. The first is recognition that there are environmental limitations on how human needs can be met. In other words, development strategies need to complement and enhance the natural resource base; and development policies must be fully integrated with the maintenance of environmental quality. This is reflected in Principle 4 of the Rio Declaration and Chapter 8 of Agenda 21. It is made abundantly clear by the World Commission on Environment and Development in Chapter 2 of Our Common Future:

"...Sustainable development requires the promotion of values that encourage consumption standards that are within the bounds of the ecological possible and to which all can reasonably aspire ... At a minimum, sustainable development must not endanger the natural systems that support life on Earth: the atmosphere, the waters, the soils, and the living beings ..."

Second, is the emphasis on the ability of present and future generations to meet their own needs. This is a paraphrase of the related concepts of "inter-generational" (fairness between members of succeeding generations) and "intra-generational" equity (fairness among persons currently living). Each person and accordingly each society has a legal obligation to use the natural resources of the world in a manner which does not foreclose options for either future generations or for other persons currently alive to enjoy the benefits, both quantitatively and qualitatively, of the same existing natural resource base.

The idea is summarized in the work of the Legal Experts Group of the WCED ("Legal Experts Group") concerning conservation of natural resources:

"It obliges States to manage the environment and natural resources for the benefit of present generations in such a way that they are held in trust for future generations ... This implies, in the first place, a basic obligation for States to conserve options for future generations by maintaining, to the maximum extent possible, the diversity of the natural resource base ."

The international community agrees that states must pursue development which is environmentally, socially and economically sustainable. Perspectives differ, however, on how to best address current needs within this paradigm without also prejudicing future needs. Although ideally sustainability entails providing equal attention to environmental, social and economic needs, viewpoints diverge on the methodology for balancing the three phenomenon.

The difficult question is finding appropriate criteria to balance human rights, environmental, and economic considerations in an inter-temporal context. International instruments to date often do not provide clear and coherent guidance. As noted elsewhere in this paper, arguments are still heard that intergenerational equity and sustainability relate only to a state's own future citizens. The challenge of sustainability, however, is not restricted to activities within a state's own jurisdiction. It is manifest in the international arena with potentially serious transboundary consequences impacting on national sovereignty. For example, the U.S. Government's recent decision to permit oil exploration on the border between Canada and Alaska could have major negative effects on Canada's Arctic wildlife and dependent Guich'in aboriginal communities.

The inter-relatedness of the problems presented by the challenge of sustainable development mandates that decision-makers take more holistic approaches than in the past to solving human rights, environmental, and economic development problems. International law needs to be approached creatively and cross-sectorally. Contemporary international instruments concerned with human rights, economic development or environmental protection, such as the Convention on Biological Diversity, the Desertification Convention, and Vienna Declaration, reflect this emerging tripartite approach. Their texts seek to incorporate these three areas of concern within their scope. It is unlikely, however, that existing legal instruments sufficiently adequately address the serious challenges posed.

## **CONCLUSION**

The Earth Council's environmental ombudsman function (ECO) is expected to address controversies having a transnational dimension, which involve human rights, environmental protection and economic development, and for which there are no other adequate means of redress or remedy. Until the proposed Earth Charter is promulgated, the ECO will need to refer to relevant normative standards to perform its mission. This paper provides an overview of existing and emerging legal norms which are relevant to the ECO's investigative and adjudicative/mediative functions. The paper has identified, on a preliminary basis, international rights, principles and other norms which are developing human rights, environmental protection and development objectives. In addition to offering an overview of key components of the emerging international law of sustainable development, this paper also is written to provide the ECO with a normative reference tool for subsequent research and analysis.

Human rights, environmental protection, and economic development are now increasingly viewed as complementary rather than as unrelated or opposing phenomena. Previous approaches in each of these three disciplines tended to view each category as separate, and at times even anti-thetical, to realization of the objectives of the others. For example, until very recently, human rights instruments in general accorded minimal attention to the environmental aspects of their subject matter. The current evolving consensus is that achievement of the objectives in each area is linked to achievements in the other areas. This realization results from a growing awareness of the inadequacies of previous efforts to deal with them separately. Recent scholarship is providing new insights into their systemic inter-relationships.

There is not only greater realization of the multi-dimensional nature of many conflicts, but also current realities demonstrate that global human demographic and consumption patterns place unprecedented demands on utilization of remaining ecosystems and their components. Often areas that are slated for industrial, agricultural or resource extraction are also especially important for conservation of biological diversity and are inhabited by indigenous and other long-term occupant communities. In these and other situations, development initiatives can have catastrophic impacts on the quality of environmental resources and on the lives of persons and communities. Those who are negatively affected are often from politically or economically marginalized populations. The ECO will be charged with investigating and mediating the land and resource access, occupational health and individual and group rights conflicts arising out of these types of circumstances.

The paper has identified important existing and emerging international legal rights and principles for invocation by the ECO, including permanent sovereignty over natural resources, the right to development, the right to environment, and the right to participation. Each of these rights and principles contains a rich array of components. In particular the right to development is elaborated by correlative rights: to an adequate standard of living, to cultural integrity, to education and access to adequate information. Each of these inform and support the right to development, and additionally each is arguably an international human right on its own account.

Within this framework, the paper has described the emergence of a "tri-partite" and holistic approach in international law as demonstrated by new and evolving international human rights such as the right to development and the right to environment. This multi-dimensional approach integrates human development, economic development and environmental stewardship components under the umbrella of the nascent body of international law in the field of sustainable development.

The paper has adopted a policy science approach toward understanding law and its function as a process of decision-making in conformity with the expectations of appropriateness by those who are politically relevant. In



viewing law as an ever changing normative system reflecting values in a social process for making decisions, policy science is an appropriate methodology for identifying and appraising existing and emerging normative standards and laws, and for evaluating trends in international law. These include the appearance of guidelines, recommendations and other "non-enforceable" texts, so-called soft-law, which play a significant role in the development of contemporary international law. In this context, the paper has also sought to identify broad constituencies of actors, both state and non-state, which shape international law and global society

Address by the Prime Minister of Malaysia, the Honourable Dato Seri Dr. Mahatir bin Mohamad at the Conference of the Heads of State Government of the Non-aligned Movement, Belgrade, Yugoslavia, September 1989. Text supplied by M. Pallemmaerts, Free University, Brussels.

Alcala, Hon. A., Secretary of Environment and Natural Resources, Department of Environment and Natural Resources, Republic of the Philippines, Speech : "Ensuring the Diversity of Life: A Call for Partnership Between States, Peoples and Communities," First Conference of the Parties, Convention on Biological Diversity, Nassau, The Commonwealth of the Bahamas, December 1994. Text on file at WRI, Washington, D.C.

Alcorn, J.B., "Indigenous Peoples and Conservation," 7 Conservation Biology 424 (1993) (Alcorn 1993).

Allen, D.W., "The Rights of Nonhuman Animals and World Public Order: A Global Assessment," Law School Law Review 377 (1983) (Allen 1983).

Allott, P., Eunomia. New Order for a New World, Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, New York, 1990, (Allott 1990).

Anaya, S.J., Indigenous Peoples in International Law, Oxford Univ. Press, New York, 1996.

Anderson, F.R., *et al.*, "What is Environmentalism, and What Are Its Intellectual Origins, Foundations and Legal Ramifications?" in Environmental Protection: Law and Policy, Little Brown & Co., 1990 (Anderson *et al.* 1990).

Arthaud, V.C., "Environmental Destruction in the Amazon: Can U.S. Courts Provide a Forum for Indigenous Peoples?" 12 Georgetown International Environmental Law Review 195 (1994) (Arthaud 1994).

Asamoah, O., "Legal Effects of Resolutions of the General Assembly," 3 Columbia Journal of Transnational Law 210 (1965) (Asamoah 1965).

Baguio Declaration, NGO Workshop on Effective Strategies for Promoting Community-Based Forest Management: Lessons from Asia and other Regions, Villa la Maja Inn, Baguio, Philippines, 19-23 February 1994. Text on file at WRI, Wash., D.C.

Barber, C.V., *et al.*, Breaking the Logjam: Obstacles to Forest Policy Reform in Indonesia and the Philippines, WRI, Wash., D.C., March 1994 (Barber *et al.* 1994).

Bedjaoui, M., Towards a New International Economic Order, UNESCO, Holmes & Meier Publishers, New York, 1980.

1979 (Bedjaoui 1979).

Beesley, J.A., "Canadian Practice in International Law during 1972 as reflected mainly in public correspondence and statements of the Department of External Affairs," 11 Canadian Yearbook of International Law, 285 (1973) (Beesley 1973).

Binswager, H.P., "Brazilian policies that encourage deforestation in the Amazon," 4 January 1988, Environmental Working Paper No. 16, the World Bank, Wash., D.C. (Binswager 1989).

Bleicher, S.A., "An Overview of International Environmental Regulation," 2 Ecology Law Quarterly (Bleicher 1972).

Bragdon, S.H., "National Sovereignty and Global Environmental Responsibility: Can the Tension be Reconciled for the Conservation of Biological Diversity?" 33 Harvard International Law Journal 3 (Bragdon 1992).

Brandt Commission, North-South: A Program for Survival, The MIT Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts, 1980.

Bromley, D.W., Securing the Balance: National Laws and Community-Based Forest Management in Asian and Pacific Countries, Univ. of Wisconsin, Madison, November 1994 (Bromley 1994).

Brookfield, H. and C. Padoch, "Appreciating Agrodiversity. A Look at the Dynamism and Diversity of Indigenous Farming Practices," Environment 6 June 1994 (Brookfield and Padoch 1994).

Brown, D., "World Bank to Emphasize AIDS as Economic Threat," Washington Post, 28 November 1994, A7 (Brown 1994).

Brown Weiss, E., "The Planetary Trust: Conservation and Intergenerational Equity," 11 Ecology Quarterly 495 (1984) (Brown Weiss 1984).

Brown Weiss, E., "Intergenerational Equity in International Law," 81 American Society of International Law Proceedings 127 (1987) (Brown Weiss 1987).

Brown Weiss, E., In Fairness to Future Generations: International Law, Common Patrimony and Intergenerational Equity, Transnational Publishers, Inc., Dobbs Ferry, New York, 1989 (Brown Weiss 1989).

Brown Weiss, E., "International Environmental Law: Contemporary Issues and the Emergence of a New World Order," 81 Georgetown Law Journal 675 (1993) (Brown Weiss 1993a).

Brown Weiss, E., "Environmentally Sustainable Competitiveness : A Comment," 102 Yale Law Journal (1993) (Brown Weiss 1993b).

Brown Weiss, E., "Global Environmental Justice: The Imperative for the 21st Century," prepared for the International Symposium "Sustainable Development and International Law," Baden bei Wien, Austria, 1994 (Brown Weiss 1994).

Brownlie, I., "A Survey of International Customary Rules of Environmental Protection", 13 Natural Resources

Journal 179 (1973) (Brownlie 1973).

Brownlie, I., Principles of Public International Law, 4<sup>th</sup> Ed., Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1990 (Brownlie 1990).

Bull, H., *et al.* (eds.), in Butler, W.F., "Grotius and the Law of the Sea," p. 209, Hugo Grotius and International Relations, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1990 (Butler 1990).

Burhenne-Guilmin, F., and S. Casey Leftkowitz, "The Convention on Biological Diversity: A Hard Achievement," 3 Yearbook of International Environmental Law 43 (1992) (Burhenne-Guilmin and Leftkowitz 1992).

Burhenne-Guilmin, F., and C. de Klemm, Legal Measures for Conservation and Sustainable Use of Biodiversity, Peer Review Draft, IUCN Centre for Environmental Law, 2 September 1994 (Burhenne-Guilmin and de Klemm 1994).

Caldwell, L.K. International Environmental Policy. Emergence and Dimensions, Duke Univ. Press, Durham, N.C., 1984 (Caldwell 1984).

Cameron, J., and J. Abouchar, "The Status of the Precautionary Principle in International Law," Freestone, D., and E. Hey (eds.), The Precautionary Principle and International Law. The Challenge of Implementation, Kluwer Law International, The Hague, 1996.

Caring for the Earth. A Strategy for Sustainable Living, IUCN-WWF-UNEP, Gland, Switzerland, 1991.

Chandler, M., "The Biodiversity Convention: Selected Issues of Interest to the International Law," Colorado Journal of International Law and Policy 141 (1993) (Chandler 1993).

Chapman, J.W. and A. Wertheimer, Majorities And Minorities, New York Univ. Press, New York, 1990 (Chapman and Wertheimer 1990).

Charlesworth, H., *et al.*, "Feminist Approaches to International Law," 85 American Journal of International Law 613 (1991) (Charlesworth *et al.* 1991).

Charney, J.I., "The Antarctic System and Customary International Law," in Francioni, F., and T. Weir (eds.), International Law for Antarctica, Giuffrè Editore, Milano, 1987 (Charney 1987).

Charter of the Indigenous-Tribal Peoples of the Tropical Forests, Penang, Malaysia, February 1990, 40-40, reproduced in Colchester, M., Salvaging Nature: Indigenous Peoples, Protected Areas and Conservation, DP 55, United Nations Research Institute for Social Development, Oxford, September 1990.

Chowdhury, S.R., and P.J.I.M. de Waart, "Significance of the Right to Development: An Introduction," in S.R. Chowdhury, E.M.G. Denters and P.J.I.M. De Waart (eds.), The Right to Development in International Law, Martinus Nijhoff Publishers, Dordrecht, 1992.

Churchill, R.R., "Fisheries in the European Community: Sustainable Development or Sustained Mismanagement," Centre for Marine Law and Policy, Univ. of Wales, Cardiff, 1994 (Churchill 1994).

Churchill, R.R., and A.V. Lowe, The Law of the Sea, Manchester Univ. Press, 1988 (Churchill and Lowe 1988).

1988).

Center for International Environmental Law (CIEL), "Background Paper #3, Report of the Expert Meeting on Identification of Principles of International Law for Sustainable Development," Geneva, Switzerland, 26-28 September 1995. On file with D. Hunter, CIEL, Washington, D.C.

Cohen, M.P., The History of the Sierra Club 1892-1970, Sierra Club Books, San Francisco, 1994 (1994).

Colchester, M., Salvaging Nature: Indigenous Peoples, Protected Areas and Biodiversity Conservation, United Nations Research Institute for Social Development, Oxford, September 1994 (Colchester 1994).

Colombos, C.J., The International Law of the Sea, Sixth Revised Edition, Longmans Green & Co., London, 1967 (Colombos 1967).

Colombres, A., *La colonización cultural de la América indígena* (tr. R. Stavenhagen), Ediciones del Trópic, Buenos Aires, 1987, p. 245, in Stavenhagen, R., "The Status and Rights of Indigenous Peoples: A Preliminary draft for comments, July 1991, p. 51, Inter-American Commission on Human Rights (Colombres 1987).

"Commentary Accompanying the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea," 13 George Washington International Environmental Law Review 77 (1994).

Cooper, D., "The International Undertaking on Plant Genetic Resources", 2 RECIEL 158 (1993) (Cooper 1993).

Coughlin, M.D. Jr., "Using the Merck-INBio Agreement to Clarify the Convention on Biological Diversity", 22 Columbia Journal of Transnational Law 337 (1993) (Coughlin 1993).

Corwin, E., "'The Higher Law', Background of American Constitutional Law," 42, Harvard Law Review 1 (1928).

Cox, P.A., and T.M. Elmqvist, "Indigenous Control of Tropical Rainforest Reserves: An Alternative for Conservation," 20 AMBIQ 317 (1991) (Cox and Elmqvist 1991).

Crawford, J., The Creation of States in International Law, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1979 (Crawford 1979).

Crawford, J. (ed.), The Rights of Peoples, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1988 (Crawford 1988).

D'Amato, A.D., and S.K. Chopra, "Whales: Their Emerging Right to Life," 85 American Journal of International Law 21 (1991) (D'Amato and Chopra 1991).

Daly, H., and J.B. Cobb, Jr., For the Common Good: Redirecting the Economy toward Community, the Environment and a Sustainable Future, Green Print, London, 1990 (Daly and Cobb Jr. 1990).

Daly, H., and K.N. Townsend (eds.), Valuing the Earth: Economics, Ecology Ethics, MIT Press, Cambridge, Mass., 1993 (Daly and Townsend 1993).

Davis, S.H., "Indigenous Peoples, Environmental Protection and Sustainable Development," basic paper by IUCN, Gland, Switzerland, 1988 (Davis 1988).

Davis, S.H., The World Bank and Indigenous Peoples, Environment Department, the World Bank

"Decade of World Growth Forecast," Financial Times, 19 April 1995, p. 1.

*Declaration Universelle Des Droits De L'Homme Des Generations Futures*, adopted at Laguna, C. Islands, February 1994. Text in French only; drawn up at a meeting of experts under UNESCO a. Text on file with E. Brown Weiss, GULC, Wash., D.C.

de Klemm, C., "Migratory Species in International Law," 29 Natural Resources Journal 935 (1989).

de Klemm, C., and C. Shine, Biological Diversity Conservation and the Law. Legal Mechanisms for Species and Ecosystems, Environmental Policy and Law Paper No. 29, IUCN, Gland, Switzerland (Klemm and Shine 1993).

Devall, B., "The Deep Ecology Movement," 20 Natural Resources Journal 397 (1980) (Devall 1980).

de Vattel, E., The Law of Nations, Classics of International Law Series, 1916 (tr. C.G. Fenwick, 1954).

"Development and the Environment," Founex Report, Founex, Switzerland, 4-12 June 1971, reproduced in Defense of the Earth, The Basic Texts on Environment, Founex, Stockholm, Cocoyoc, UNEP, Nairobi, 1972.

"Developments in the Law. International Environmental Law," 104 Harvard Law Review 1484.

Dovers, S.R. and J.W. Handmer, "Ignorance, the Precautionary Principle and Sustainability," 24 Environmental Policy and Law 14 (1995) (Dovers and Handmer 1995).

Draft Report on Project PD 72/89 (F) Seminar on Sustainable Development of Tropical Forests, Bali, 19 May 1990, PCF (VII)/4. 14 September 1990.

Dunn, N., "World Bank looks to Policy of 'Micro-Loans,'" Financial Times, 18 July 1995, p. 4 (Dunn 1995).

Dupuy. P., "Soft Law and the International Law of the Environment," 12 Michigan Journal of International Law 420 (1991).

Dworkin, R., Taking Rights Seriously, Gerald Duckworth & Co. Ltd., London, 1978 (Dworkin 1978).

3 Earth Negotiations Bulletin No. 1 (7 November 1992).

Earth Council, "Ombudsman Function: A Case Study and a Methodological approach for a Global Environment and Development," Preliminary Draft, San Jose Costa Rica, October 1995.

Engberg, R., and D. Wesling (eds.), John Muir to Yosemite and Beyond. Writings from the Years 1840-1890, The Univ. of Wisconsin Press, Madison, 1980 (Engberg and Wesling 1980).

Engel, J.R., and J.G. Engel (eds.), Ethics of Environment and Development, Belhaven Press, London, 1990 (Engel and Engel 1990).

Escobar, G., "Rain Forest Flora May Hold Prescription for Economic Survival Amazon Journal," World Post, 30 July 1995 (Escobar 1995).

Final Summary Statement for the Conference on Sustainable Development and Policy, Bergen, Norway, 1990, Conclusions and Recommendations. Text on file with H. Hohmann, Frankfurt, Germany.

Flournoy, A.C., "Beyond the 'Spotted Owl Problem': Learning from Old-Growth Controversy," 17 Environmental Law Review 261 (1992) (Flournoy 1992).

Francioni, F., "Antarctica and the Common Heritage of Mankind," in Francioni, F., and T. Scovazzi (eds.), International Law for Antarctica, Giuffre Editore, Milano, 1987 (Francioni 1987).

Franck, T.M., "The Emerging Right to Democratic Governance," 86 American Journal of International Law 466 (1992) (Franck 1992).

Franck, T.M., Fairness in International Law and Institutions, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1995 (Franck 1995).

Freestone, D., The Road from Rio: International Environmental Law after the Earth Summit, United Nations University Press, 1993 (Freestone 1993).

Freestone, D., and E. Hey, "Implementing the Precautionary Principle: Challenges and Opportunities," 249-268, in Freestone, D., and E. Hey (eds.), The Precautionary Principle and International Law, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1996 (Freestone and Hey 1996).

Fulton, T.W., The Sovereignty of the Sea, William Blackwood & Sons, Edinburgh and London, 1911, citing Welwood, W., *De Dominio Maris, Iuribusque ad Dominum Praecipue Spectantibus Assertis Methodica*, Cosmopoli, 16, January 1615 (Fulton 1911).

"Gaia', The Veiled Goddess," Economist, 22 December 1990.

Gao, Z., "International Petroleum Exploration and Exploitation Agreements : A Comprehensive Economic Appraisal," 12 Journal of Energy and Natural Resources Law 240 (1994) (Gao 1994).

Garcia, S.M., "The Precautionary Principle: its Implications in Capture Fisheries Management," 2 Coastal Management 99 (1994) (Garcia 1994).

Gees, K.N., "Permanent Sovereignty over Natural Resources," 13 International and Comparative Law Quarterly 399 (1964) (Gees 1964).

Ghazi, P., "Clinton marshals forces to tear up whaling ban," Observer, 1 May 1994 (Ghazi 1994).

Giagnocavo and H. Goldstein, "Law Reform or World Re-form: The Problem of Environmental Reform," McGill Law Journal 345 (1990).

Global Biodiversity Strategy, World Resources Institute, World Conservation Union, United Nations Environment Programme, 1992.

Environment Programme, 1992.

Glowka, L., *et al.*, A Guide to the Convention on Biological Diversity, IUCN Environmental Law and Environmental Policy and Law Paper No. 30, IUCN, Gland, Switzerland, 1994 (Glowka 1994).

Gloshko, J.M. "Nigeria Draws U.N. Censure for Executions," Washington Post, Dec. 15, 1995, p.

Goa Guidelines on Intergenerational Equity adopted by the Advisory Committee to the United Nations Project on "International Law, Common Patrimony and Intergenerational Equity" adopted in Goa, February 1988, reprinted in Brown Weiss, E., In Fairness to Future Generations, pp. 293-294.

Gordon-Ashworth, F., International Commodity Control, St. Martin's Press, New York, 1984 (Gordon-Ashworth 1984).

Graham, G., "Pledge over female mutilation," Financial Times, 12 April 1994, p. 6 (Graham 1994).

Graham, G., "World Bank is pressed on debt burden: Some African countries disappointed by capital development committee," Financial Times, 10 October 1995, p. 5 (Graham 1995).

Greaves, T. (ed.), Intellectual Property Rights for Indigenous Peoples, A Source Book, Society for Applied Anthropology, Oklahoma City, 1994 (Greaves 1994).

Grotius, H., *Mare Liberum* (tr. R.V.D. Magoffin), Oxford Univ. Press, New York, 1916 (Grotius, tr. 1916).

"When the rains come. A campaign against a giant irrigation project in India has forced the World Bank to stop funds. Some campaigners are prepared to save their river," Guardian 16 April 1993, p. 18.

Handl, G., "Environmental Security and Global Change: the Challenge to International Law," 1 Yale International Environmental Law 3 (1990) (Handl 1990).

Harding, J., "Oil-rich refuge of the Caribou," Financial Times, 24 August 1994, p. 10 (Harding 1994).

\*\*\*Hart, H.L.A., The Concept of Law, 1961.

Hartshorn, G. S., "Natural Forest Management by the Yanasha Forestry Cooperative in the Peruvian Amazon," p. 152 in Potter, C.S. *et al.*, Perspectives on Biodiversity: Case Studies of Genetic Resources, Conservation and Development, AAAS Press, Wash., D.C. 1993 (Hartshorn 1993).

Henkin, L., *et al.*, International Law. Cases and Materials, 3rd Ed., West Publishing Co., St. Paul, MN, 1993 (Henkin *et al.* 1993).

Hernandez, J.A., "How the Feds are Pushing Nuclear Waste on Reservations," Cultural Survival Quarterly 18 (1994) (Hernandez 1994).

Hewllett, S.A., "Human Rights and Economic Realities: Tradeoffs in Historical Perspective," 94 Public Affairs Science Quarterly 453 (1979).

Hiatt, F., "Without a Trace, Siberian Rangers Vanish in Disputed Nature Area," Washington Post November 1994, p. AI 9 (Hiatt 1994).

Higgins, R., The Development of International Law Through the Political Organs of the United Nations, Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 1963 (Higgins 1963).

Higgins, R., "Abandonment of Energy Sites and Structures: Relevant International Law", 11 Jou Energy and Natural Resource Law 6 (1993) (Higgins 1993)

Higgins, R., "Conceptual Thinking about the Individual in International Law," 4 British Journal of International Studies 1 (1978) (Higgins 1978).

Higgins, R., Problems and Process. International Law and How We Use It, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1994 (Higgins 1994).

Hitchcock, R.K., "International Human Rights, the Environment and Indigenous Peoples," 5 Colo of International Environmental Law and Policy 1 (1994) (Hitchcock 1994).

Hoagland, J., "Evil and Oil," Washington Post, Dec. 3, 1995, p. C07.

Hohmann, H. "Cross-Media Pollution and International Environmental Law," 34, Natural Resources 535 (1994) (Hohmann 1994a).

Hohmann, H., Precautionary Legal Duties and Principles of Modern International Law, Graham & Trotman/Martinus Nijhoff, London, 1994 (Hohmann 1994b).

Hohmann, H., "Environmental implications of the principle of sustainable development and their in international law," in Chowdhury, S.R., *et al.*, The Right to Development in International Law, Nijhoff, Dordrecht, 1992 (Hohmann 1992a).

Hohmann, H. (ed.), Basic Documents of International Environmental Law, Graham and Trotman Nijhoff, London, Dordrecht, 1992, Vols. I and II (Hohmann 1992b).

Holloway, M., "Sustaining the Amazon", Scientific American 77 (July 1993) (Holloway 1993).

Housman, R.F., "Democratizing International Trade Decision-Making," 27 Cornell International L 699 (1994) (Housman 1994).

Hudson, M.O. (Special Rapporteur), "Article 24 of the Statute of the International Law Commission Document A/CN.4/16, Working Paper, 2 Yearbook of the International Law Commission (March 1950) (Hudson 1950).

Huffman, J.L., "An Exploratory Essay on Native Americans and Environmentalism," 63 Univ. of C Review 901 (1992) (Huffman 1992).

Human Rights Watch and Natural Resources Defense Council, Defending the Earth. Abuses of H and the Environment, June 1992.



Hussain, R., "The Antarctic: Common Heritage of Mankind?" in Verhoeven, J., *et al.* (eds.), The Environment and International Law, Graham and Trotman/Martinus Nijhoff, London, Dordrecht, (Hussain *et al.* 1992).

Hyde, J.N., "Permanent Sovereignty over Natural Resources, 50 American Journal of International Law (1956) (Hyde 1956).

Inter-American Development Bank, Resettlement in Inter-American Development Bank Projects and Guidelines, Draft 12/29/93, Environmental Protection Division, IDB, Wash., D.C., Dec. 1993

International Institute of Environment and Development, Whose Eden? An Overview of Community Approaches to Wildlife Management, IIED, London, 1994

International Law Association, Report of the Sixty-Sixth Conference, held at Buenos Aires Argentina, August 1994.

International Labour Office, Conventions and Recommendations adopted by the International Labour Conference 1919-1966, ILO, Geneva, 1966

"International Liability for Injurious Consequences Arising out of Acts not Prohibited under International Law," [1993] II Yearbook of the International Law Commission 21, UN Doc. A/CN.4.SER A./1993 (Part 2); and [1991] II Yearbook of the International Law Commission 108, UN Doc. A/CN.4.SER A./1991/Add. 1 (Part 2).

Jefferson Fox (ed.), Legal Frameworks for Forest Management in Asia, Case Studies of Community Forestry, Program on Environment, Occasional Paper No 16, East-West Centre, Honolulu, 1993

Jennings, R., and A. Watts (eds.), Oppenheim's International Law, 9th Ed., Longman Group UK Ltd, Harlow, Essex, Vol. I, 1992 (Jennings and Watts 1992).

Johnson, H.G., Money, Trade and Economic Growth, George, Allen and Unwin, London, 1962.

Johnson, N., and B. Cabarle, Surviving the Cut: Natural Forest Management in the Humid Tropics, Washington, D.C., 1993 (Johnson and Cabarle 1993).

Johnson, R.W., "Fragile Gains: Two Centuries of Canadian and United States Policy Towards Indian Reserves," Washington Law Review 643 (1991) (Johnson, R.W., 1991).

Johnson, S.P. (ed.), The Earth Summit, Graham & Trotman/Martinus Nijhoff Publishers, London, 1993 (Johnson, S.P., 1993).

Johnston, B.R., Human Rights and the Environment: Examining the Sociocultural Context of Environmental Crisis, Summary Findings from the Society for Applied Anthropology Report on Human Rights and the Environment, 1993.

Johnston, D.M., International Law of Fisheries: A Framework for Policy Oriented Inquiries, Yale University Press, New Haven, Conn., 1965 (Johnston 1965).

Jones, B.T.B., "Wildlife Management, Utilization and Tourism in Communal Areas. Benefits to Communities and Improved Resource Management", Research Discussion Paper No. 5, January 1995, Directorate of Environmental Affairs, Windhoek, Namibia (Jones 1995).

Joyner, C., "Biodiversity in the Marine Environment: Resource Implications for the Law of the Sea", Vanderbilt Journal of Transnational Law 635 (1995) (Joyner 1995).

Kaminski, M., "East counts cost of a dirty legacy. Estonia, Latvia and Lithuania face a big bill after years of Soviet Army sloppiness", Financial Times, 9 March 1994, p. 16 (Kaminski 1994).

Kane, J., "With Spears from All Sides", New Yorker, 27 September 1993 (Kane 1993).

Kant, S., *et al.*, Community-Based Forest Management Systems (Case Studies from Orissa), Institute for Community-Based Forest Management, Bhopal, Swedish International Development Authority, New Delhi and International Centre for Swedforest, New Delhi, April 1991 (Kant *et al.* 1991).

Kelso, B.J., "Ninth Meeting of the Conference of the Parties to CITES," 15 TRAFFIC Bulletin No. 15 (Kelso 1995).

Kelsen, H., General Theory of Law and State, reprinted in part in Reisman and Schreiber, A.M., Jurisprudence . Understanding and Shaping Law, New Haven Press, 1987, p 387.

\*\*Kelsen, H, The Pure Theory of Law, 1967.

Kempf, E. (ed.), The Law of the Mother. Protecting Indigenous Peoples in Protected Areas, Sierra Club, San Francisco, 1993 (Kempf 1993).

Kimball, L., "Environmental Law and Policy in Antarctica", at 130-131, in Sands, P. (ed.), Greening Antarctica. International Law, Earthscan Publications Ltd., London, 1993 (Kimball 1993).

Kimmerling, J., Amazon Crude, Natural Resources Defense Council, New York, 1991 (Kimmerling 1991).

Kindall, M.P.A., "UNCED and the Evolution of Principles of International Environmental Law," 25 Marshall Law Review 19 (1991) (Kindall 1991).

Kirmse, R.D., *et al.*, Prospects for Improved Management of Natural Forests in Latin America, Latin America and the Caribbean Dissemination Note #9, the World Bank, Wash., D.C., December 1993 (Kirmse 1993).

Kiss, A.C., Selected Multilateral Treaties in the Field of the Environment, UNEP, Nairobi, 1983 (Kiss 1983).

Kiss, A.C., "The Rights and Interests of Future Generations and the Precautionary Principle," p. 1-10, in Freestone, D., and E. Hey (eds.), The Precautionary Principle and International Law. The Challenge of Implementation, Kluwer Law International, The Hague, 1996.

Kiss, A.C., and D. Shelton, International Environmental Law. 1994 Supplement, Transnational Publishers, Inc., New York, 1994 (Kiss and Shelton 1994).

Kleymeyer, C.D., "Cultural Traditions and Community-Based Conservation," p. 329 in Western, L.

Wright and S.C. Strum, Natural Connections. Perspectives in Community-Based Conservation, Island Press, Wash., D.C., 1994 (Kleymeyer 1994).

Kramer, L., Focus on European Environmental Law, Sweet & Maxwell, London, 1992 (Kramer 1992).

Kratochwil, F., Rules, Norms and Decisions. On the conditions of practical and legal reasoning in international relations and domestic affairs, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1989 (Kratochwil 1989).

Lammers, J., Pollution of International Watercourses. A Search for Substantive Rules and Principles, Martinus Nijhoff Publishers, The Hague, 1984 (Lammers 1984).

Lang, W., "Diplomacy and International Environmental Decision-Making: Some Observations," 3 International Environmental Law 108 (1992) (Lang 1992).

Lasswell, H.D., and M.S. McDougal, "Criteria for a Theory about Law", 44 Southern California Law Review 362 (1971),

Laszlo, E., *et al.*, The Obstacles to the New International Economic Order, Pergamon Press, New York, 1980 (Laszlo *et al.* 1980).

Lauterpacht, H., The Development of International Law by the International Court, Stevens & Sons, London, 1958 (Lauterpacht 1958).

Lindley, M.F., The Acquisition and Government of Backward Territory, Longmans, Green & Co. London, 1926 (Lindley 1926).

Locke, J. "Concerning Civil Government, Second Essay", Chp. V, pars. 30 and 37, reprinted in H. W. R. R.M., Great Books of the World, Locke, Berkeley, Hume, William Benton, Chicago, London, 1952 (Locke *et al.* Great Books 1952).

Logue, J.J., "A Stubborn Dutchman: The Attempt to Revive Grotius' Common Property Doctrine at The Third United Nations Conference On The Law Of The Sea," International Law and the Grotius Society, T.M.C. Asser Instituut, The Hague, Netherlands, 1985 (Logue 1985).

Lopez, R., "Policy Instruments and Financing Mechanisms for Sustainable Use of Forests in Latin America," Journal of Environment & Development, Univ. of Maryland, March 1996 (Lopez 1996).

Lowe, A.V., "Reflections on the Waters, Changing Conceptions of Property Rights in the Law of the Sea," International Journal of Estuarine and Coastal Law 1 (1986) (Lowe 1986)

Lynch, O.J., Securing Community-Based Tenurial Rights in the Tropical Forests of Asia: An Overview of Current and Prospective Strategies, Issues in Development, WRI, Wash., D.C., November 1992 (Lynch 1992).

Lynch, O.J., "Bridgebuilding Among Local and International Constituencies: The Need for Local Incentives in Sustainable Development and International Law," prepared for the International Symposium on Sustainable Development and International Law, Baden bei Wien, 14-16 April 1994 (Lynch 1994).

Lynch, O.J., and K. Talbott, "Legal Responses to the Philippine Deforestation Crisis," 20 New York Journal of International Law and Politics 679 (1988) (Lynch and Talbott 1988).

Lynch, O.J., and K. Talbott, Balancing Acts, Community-Based Forest Management and National Parks in the Pacific, WRI, September 1995 (Lynch and Talbott 1995).

Lyster, S., International Wildlife Law, Grotius Publications Ltd., Cambridge, 1985 (Lyster 1985).

Magraw, D.B., "Legal Treatment of Developing Countries: Differential, Contextual, and Absolute Standards," 19 Colorado Journal of International Environmental Law and Policy 69 (1990) (Magraw 1990).

Mann, H., "The Rio Declaration," 86 American Society of International Law Proceedings 405 (1992) (Mann 1992).

Marks, S.P. "Emerging Human Rights: A New Generation for the 1980s?" in R. Falk *et al.* (eds.), International Law: A Contemporary Perspective, 1985, p. 501.

Martin, K. "Akwasasne. Industrial Contamination-Environmental Recovery," 11 Winds of Change 10 (1984).

Maybury-Lewis, D., "From Savages to Security Risks: The Indian Question in Brazil," pp. 38-63, in O. Mendelsohn, O., and U. Baxi (eds.), The Rights of Subordinated Peoples, Oxford Univ. Press, 1984 (Maybury-Lewis 1984).

McDougal, M.S., and W. M. Reisman, International Law Essays: A Supplement to International Law in Contemporary Perspective, The Foundation Press, Inc., Mineola, New York, 1981 (McDougal and Reisman 1981).

McDougal, M.S., and W. M. Reisman, International Law in Contemporary Perspective. The Public Law of the World Community. Case and Materials, The Foundation Press, Mineola, New York, 1981.

McNeely, J.A., Coping with Change, People, Forests and Biodiversity, IUCN, Gland, Switzerland, 1994 (McNeely 1994).

Minow, M., "Interpreting Rights: An Essay for Robert Cover," 96 Yale Law Journal 1860 (1987).

Moore, J.B., A Digest of International Law, Government Printing Office, Wash., D.C., Vol. I, 1906 (Moore J.B., 1906).

Mugabe, J.O., *et al.* (eds.), Access to Genetic Resources. Emerging Regimes to Facilitate Regular Access and Benefit-Sharing, ACTS Press, Nairobi and WRI, Wash., D.C., 1996 (Mugabe *et al.* 1996).

Muir, K. and J. Bojo., Economic Policy Wildlife and Land Use in Zimbabwe, Environment Working Paper 68, September 1994, Environmentally Sustainable Development Department, the World Bank, Washington, D.C. (Muir 1994).

Munro, R.D., and J.G. Lammers, Environmental Protection And Sustainable Development, Experience from the Environmental Law of the World Commission on Environment and Development, Graham & Greenleaf, London, 1992.

Trotman/Martinus Nijhoff Publishers, London, 1986 (Munro and Lammers 1986).

Najam, A., International Environmental Negotiation: The Case for A South Secretariat, Working Program on Negotiation, Harvard Law School, 1994 (Najam 1994).

Najam, A., "A Negotiating Strategy for the South," 24 South Letter 15 (1995) (Najam 1995a).

Najam, A., "An Environmental Negotiation Strategy for the South," 7 International Environment (1995) (Najam 1995b).

Nanda, V. "Trade-Offs' between Economic Development and Human Rights," 13 Denver Journal of International Law and Policy 161 (1984).

Nepstad, D.C., "Conclusions and Recommendations : The Challenge of Non-Timber Forest Product Extraction," 9 Advances in Economic Botany 143 (1992) The New York Botanical Gardens (Nepstad 1992).

Oates, J.F., "The dangers of conservation by rural development - a case study from the forests of the Philippines," 29 ORYX 115 (1995) (Oates 1995).

Omari, C.K., "Traditional African Land Ethics," p. 170, in Engel, J.R. and J.G. Engel, Ethics of Environment and Development, Belhaven Press, London, 1990 (Omari 1990).

Orihuela, S., "Sustainable Development: Its Social, Political and Legal Implications Peru, A Case Study," 13 Colorado Journal of Environmental Law and Policy 434 (1993) (Orihuela 1993).

Ostrom, E., Governing The Commons : The Evolution of Institutions for Collective Action, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1990 (Ostrom 1990).

Pallemaerts, M. "International Aspects of Long-Range Transboundary Air Pollution," 1 Hague Yearbook of International Law 189 (1988) (Pallemaerts 1988).

Pallemaerts, M., "International Environmental Law from Stockholm to Rio: Back to the Future," in Sands, P. (ed.), Greening International Law, Earthscan Publications Ltd., London, 1993 (Pallemaerts 1993).

Panjabi, R.K.L., "From Stockholm to Rio: A Comparison of the Declaratory Principles of International Environmental Law," 21 Denver Journal of International Law and Policy 215 (1993) (Panjabi 1993).

Paolo V. and B. Yu III, Environment and Development: Situating Sustainable Development in International Law, Issues Paper 96-01, Legal Rights and Natural Resources\Center for Environmental and Natural Resources\Sa Kalikasan (LRC-KSK/Friends of the Earth - Philippines).

Parker, I.S.C. and A.D. Graham, "Men, elephants and competition," 61 Symposium of the Zoological Society 101 (1993) (Parker and Graham 1993).

of London 241 (1989) (Parker and Graham 1989). Parmelee, J., "Losing the Race to Feed Its People: Population Grows Faster than its Crops," Washington Post, 24 August 1994, pp. A1 and A23 (Parmelee 1994).

Partridge, W.L., People's Participation in Environmental Assessment in Latin America:

Best Practices, Latin American Technical Dept. ("LATEN"), Dissemination Note #11, the World Bank, Washington, D.C., November 1994 (Partridge 1994).

Peres, C.A., "Indigenous Reserves and Nature Conservation in Amazonian Forests", Conservation Biology, Critique on Native Stewardship, n.d.

Platzman, S. , "Objects of Controversy: The Native American Right to Repatriation," 41

American University Law Review 517 (1992).

Poffenberger M., "Joint Management for Forest Lands: Experiences from South Asia," a Ford Foundation Program Statement, January 1990 (Poffenberger 1990).

Poffenberger, M. and C. Singh., "Emerging Directions in Indian Forest Policy: The Legal

Framework for Joint Forest Management of Forest Lands," Draft, 30 Sept. 1991 (Poffenberger and Singh 1991).

Popovic, N.A.F., Draft "In Pursuit of Environmental Human Rights: Commentary on the

Draft Declaration of Principles on Human Rights and the Environment," 27 Columbia Human Rights Review 1 (1996).

Porras, I.M., "The Rio Declaration : A New Basis for International Co-operation", in

Sands, P., Greening International Law, Earthscan Publications Ltd., London, 1993 (Porras 1993)

Posey, D.A., "Indigenous Knowledge in the Conservation and Use of World Forests," in

Ramakrishna, K., and G. M. Woodwell. (eds.), in World Forests for the Future: Their Use and Conservation, Yale Univ. Press, New Haven and London, 1994 (Posey 1994)

Posey, D.A., Indigenous Peoples and Traditional Resource Rights : A Basis for Equitable Relationships, College Centre for Environmental Policy and Understanding, Oxford, 1995 (Posey 1995).

Posey, D.A., "Indigenous Peoples and Traditional Resource Rights: A Basis for Equitable Relationships", Oxford, 1995.

Potter, C.S., *et al.*, Perspectives on biodiversity: case studies of genetic resource conservation and sustainable development, AAAS Press, Wash., D.C., 1993 (Potter *et al.* 1993).

Proceedings of the International Symposium, Sustainable Development and International Law, Vol. 1, 1992.

April 1994. Text on file with E. Brown Weiss, GULC, Wash., D.C.

"Proposal for the Establishment of the Fund of the Indigenous Peoples of Latin America and the Final Version", Second Ibero-American Summit of Heads of State and Government, Madrid, Spain. Text on file at Inter-American Development Bank, Wash, D.C.

Prouse, M., "World Bank cash to help the blind" Financial Times, 14-15 May 1994, p4 (Prouse 1994).

Rabbin, L., "Kayapo Choices: Short-Term Gains vs. Long-Term Damage", 19 Cultural Survival Quarterly (1995) (Rabbin 1995).

Raworth, P., and L.C. Reif, The Law of the WTO. Final Text of the GATT Uruguay Round Agreement, Oceana Publications Inc., New York, 1995.

Redford, K.H., and A.M. Stearman, "Forest-Dwelling Native Amazonians and the Conservation of their Interests in Common or in Collision?" 7 Conservation Biology 248 (1993) (Redford and Stearman 1993).

Reisman, W.M., and A.M. Schreiber, Jurisprudence. Understanding and Shaping Law. Cases, Reports, and Commentary, New Haven Press, New Haven, 1987.

Reisman, W.M., "Protecting Indigenous Rights in International Adjudication," 89 American Journal of International Law 350 (1995) (Reisman 1995).

Religious Beliefs and Environmental Protection : The Malshegu Sacred Grove in Northern Ghana, Wash., D.C., July 1991.

Rice, R., and N. Sizer, Backs to the Wall in Suriname: Forest Policy in a Country Crisis, WRI, Washington, D.C., 1995 (Rice and Sizer 1995).

Rich, B., Mortgaging The Earth : The World Bank, Environmental Impoverishment, and the Crisis of Sustainable Development, Beacon Press, Boston, 1994, Earthscan Publications Ltd, London (Rich 1994).

Robinson, J.G., and K.H. Redford, Neotropical Wildlife Use and Conservation, Univ. of Chicago Press, Chicago (Robinson and Redford 1991).

Robinson, N.A., Deputy Chair, IUCN Commission on Environmental Law, Letter introducing the International Covenant on Environment and Development to members of the American society of Environmental Law, May 12, 1995.

Royal Speech by His Majesty the King Delivered to the Organizing Committee of 'Rapee Day', Chiang Mai, Thailand, Villa, Wednesday 27 June 1993, Royal Addresses and Speeches, Thailand. Text on file at WRI, Washington, D.C.

Rummel-Bulcka, I., and S. Osafo, Selected Multilateral Treaties in the Field of the Environment, Earthscan Publications Ltd., Cambridge, Vol. II, 1991.

Ruster, B., *et al.*, International Protection of the Environment: Treaties and Related Documents, Oceana Publications, Inc., Dobbs Ferry, New York.

Sachs, A., Eco-justice: Linking Human Rights and the Environment, Worldwatch Paper 127, Dec

Sand, P., "UNCED and the Development of International Environmental Law," 3 Yearbook of International Environmental Law 3 (1992) (Sand 1992).

Sands, P., "Environment, Community and International Law," 30 Harvard International Law Journal (1989).

Sands, P., "Sustainable Use of Natural Resources," Report of a Consultation on Sustainable Development: The Challenge to International Law, St. George's House, Windsor Castle, FIELD, London, 1993 (Sands 1993a).

Sands, P., Greening International Law, Earthscan Publications Ltd., London, 1993 (Sands 1993b).

Sands, P., Principles of International Environmental Law, Manchester Univ. Press, Manchester, 1995 (Sands 1995a).

Sands, P., "International Law in the Field of Sustainable Development," draft Manuscript obtained from FIELD, Univ. of London, June 1995 (Sands 1995b).

Sands, P. "Nuclear Weapons Advisory Opinions," Presentation at Research Centre for International Law, Univ. of Cambridge, 16 February 1996 (Sands 1996).

Sarokin, D.A. and J. Schulkin, "Environmental Justice: Co-Evolution of Environmental Concerns and Environmental Justice," 14 The Environmentalist 121 (1994) (Sarokin and Schulkin 1994).

Saunier, R. E. "The U.N. Conference on Environment and Development and the Issues of Sovereignty, Self-Determination, Enfranchisement and Equity," 7 National Geographic Research and Exploration 387 (1991).

Savoury, A., Holistic Resources Management, Island Press, 1988 (Savoury 1988).

Schachter, O., Sharing the World's Resources, Columbia Univ. Press, New York, 1977 (Schachter 1977).

Schachter, O., "International Law in Theory and Practice," 178 Rec. des Cours 9 (1982-V) (Schachter 1982).

Schachter, O., International Law in Theory and Practice, Martinus Nijhoff, Dordrecht, 1991.

Schachter, O., "The Erosion of State Authority and Its Implications for Equitable Development," prepared for ILA Committee/Dutch ILA Working Group on legal Aspects of Sustainable Development Seminar, "International Economic Law with a Human Face," Amsterdam, 2-4 May 1996.

Scherr, S.J. *et al.*, One Year After Rio, Natural Resources Defense Council, Wash., D.C., 1993 (Scherr *et al.* 1993).

Schreuer, C., "Recommendations and the Traditional Sources of International Law," 20 German Yearbook of International Law 103 (1977) (Schreuer 1977).

Schwebel, S.M., "The Effect of Resolutions of the General Assembly on Customary International Law," 10 Yearbook of International Law 133 (1973) (Schwebel 1973).



American Society of International Law, Proceedings 301 Wash., D.C. (1979) (Schwebel 1979).

Schweder, T., "Intransigence, incompetence or political expediency? Dutch scientist in the IWC injection of uncertainty," 1992. Ref. No., IWC/SC/44/ 013, on file at IWC, Histon, Cambridge (S 1992).

Scoones, I. (ed.), Living with Uncertainty. New Directions in Pastoral Development in Africa, Int Technology Publications, London, 1994, pp 1-30 (Scoones 1994).

Sedjo, R.A., "Ecosystem Management: An Uncharted Path for Public Forests," Resources for t (1995) (Sedjo 1995).

Serageldin, I., Sustainability and the Wealth of Nations: First Steps in an Ongoing Journey (Pre September 1995, The World Bank Conference on Environmentally Sustainable Development, W October 1995 (Serageldin 1995).

Sessions, K., Institutionalizing the Earth Summit, The United Nations Commission on Sustainable Development, UNA-USA Occasional Paper No. 7, October, 1992 (Sessions 1992).

Sessions, K., "Building Capacity for Change," 19 EPA Journal No. 2 (1993) (Sessions 1993).

Sheldon, P., "Isolated Papua New Guineans Fall Prey to Foreign Bulldozers," New York Times, 5 (Sheldon 1994a).

Shelton, D., "Human Rights, Environmental Rights and the Right to the Environment," 28 Stanford International Law 103 (1991).

Sheldon, P., "Hunt in Forests of Borneo Aims to Track Down Natural Drugs. US Scientists are in against time and loggers," New York Times, 6 December 1994, Section C, p. 4 (Sheldon 1994b)

Shihata, I.F.I., "The World Bank and the Environment," 16 Maryland Journal of International La 1 (1992) (Shihata 1992).

Shihata, I.F.I., The Role of the World Bank: Legal Instruments for Achieving Environmental Obj Paper submitted to the Conference on International Environmental Law by the Vice President and Counsel, the World Bank, Oslo, 31 August-1 September 1994 (Shihata 1994).

Shihata, I.F.I., "The World Bank and the Environment, Legal Instruments for Achieving Environ Objectives" in Shihata, I.F.I., The World Bank in a Changing World, Vol. II, Martinus Nijhoff, Th 1995.

Shivji, I.G., The Concept of Human Rights in Africa, Codesria Book Series, London, 1989.

Shutkin, W.A., "Human Rights Law and the Environment," 31 Virginia Journal of International L (1991) (Shutkin 1991).

Singh, C., International Law Agenda for Sustainable Development. The Indian Perspective, Unp

Indian Law Institute, New Delhi, 1992 (copy on file at WRI, Wash., D.C.) (Singh 1992)

Singh, N., "Sustainable Development as a Principle of International Law," in P. de Waart *et al.*, Law and Development, 1988.

Sohn, L., "The Stockholm Declaration on the Human Environment," 14 Harvard International Law Journal 423 (1973) (Sohn 1993).

"Some Core Elements of the International Law of Sustainable Development" in International Conference on the Legal Aspects of Sustainable Development. First Report, International Law Association, Buenos Aires Conference, 14-20 August 1994.

Speech by the President of the Republic of Zambia, Mr. F.J.T. Chiluba, on the Occasion of the Meeting of the Ministers for the Adoption and Signature of the Lusaka Agreement on Co-operative Enforcement of Laws directed at Illegal Trade in Wild Fauna and Flora. Annex 1 of the Lusaka Agreement (Lusaka Agreement 1989)

Speth, J.G., Coming to Terms: Towards a North-South Bargain for the Environment, WRI, Washington, D.C., 1989 (Speth 1989).

Starr, J., and K.C. Hardy, "Not By Seeds Alone: The Biodiversity Convention and the Role for National Parks in Agriculture," 12 Stanford Environmental Law Journal 85 (1993) (Starr and Hardy 1993).

Statement by E. Dowdeswell, at the Ninth Meeting of the COP of CITES, Fort Lauderdale, 18 November 1994, Summary Report of the Plenary Meeting, Plen.9.1.

Steiner, A. and E. Rihoy, "The Commons Without the Tragedy," in Rihoy, E. (ed.), The Commons Without the Tragedy? Strategies for Community-Based Natural Resources Management in Southern Africa, Proceedings of the Regional Natural Resource Management Programme Annual Conference, Kasere, Botswana, published by SADC Wildlife Technical Co-ordination Unit, P.O. Box 30131, Lilongwe, Malawi, April 1995 (Steiner and Rihoy 1995).

Stone, C.D., Should Trees Have Standing? Legal Rights for Natural Objects, William Kaufmann, Berkeley, California, 1974 (Stone, C.D., 1974).

Stone, C.D., "Defending the Commons," in Sands, P. (ed.), Greening International Law, Earthscan Publications Ltd., London, 1993 (Stone, C.D., 1993).

Stone, R.D., Wildlands and Human Needs, Reports from the Field, World Wildlife Fund, 1991 (Stone, R.D., 1991).

Talbott, K. and O. Lynch (co-ordinators), NGO Policy Workshop on Strategies for Effectively Promoting Community-Based Management of Tropical Forest Resources : Lessons from Asia and Other Regions, May 1994, Villa La Maja Inn, Baguio City, Philippines, Text on file at WRI Wash., D.C. (Talbott and Lynch 1994).

Talbott, K. and S. Khadka, Handing it Over. An Analysis of the Legal and Policy Framework of Community-Based Forestry in Nepal, WRI, Wash., D.C., October, 1994 (Talbott and Khadka 1994).

Tay, S.C. , "Asia's Search for Universal Human Rights," Paper presented at the Conference on Human Rights and Development, An ASEAN-European Value Discussion, Manila, 13-14 August 1996, p. 4.

Thrupp, L.A., "Sterilization of Workers from Pesticide Exposure: The Causes and Consequences of Environmentally induced Damage in Costa Rica and Beyond," 21 International Journal of Health Services 731 (1992).

Thrupp, L.A., Draft "Social Justice As A Key Element of Sustainable Development," text of presentation at international congress "Down to Earth" sponsored by the International Society of Ecological Economics, San Jose, Costa Rica, October 1994. Text on file with L.A. Thrupp, WRI, Wash., D.C. (Thrupp 1994).

Triggs, G.D., International Law and Australian Sovereignty in Antarctica, Legal Books Pty. Ltd., Sydney, Australia (Triggs 1896).

Tropical Forestry Action Plan, Report of the Independent Review, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia, May 1990.

Turnbull, C., The Mountain People, Simon & Schuster, Inc., New York, 1972 (Turnbull 1972).

Udall, L., "Irian Jaya's Heart of Gold. Natural Resources Extraction Takes a Heavy Toll on Indonesian Island's Peoples and Habitats," 10 World Rivers Review 10 (1995).

## **United Nations**

Final Report of the Inter-Governmental Conference on Experts on the Scientific Basis for Rational Use and Conservation of the Resources of the Biosphere, held at UNESCO House, Paris, France, 4-13 September 1968; SC/MD/9, Paris, 1969.

UNEP, In Defense of the Earth. The Basic Texts on the Environment - Founex, Stockholm, Cocom, Executive Series, Nairobi, 1980.

"Environmental Perspective to the Year 2000 and Beyond" Resolution Adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations, Forty-second Agenda item 82(e), 30 March 1988; A/Res./42/186, 30 March 1986.

"Presentation of the Report of the World Commission on Environment and Development to UNEP Governing Council Session" by Mrs. Gro Harlem Brundtland, Prime Minister of Norway, 8 June 1989, Nairobi, Kenya, UNEP Report of the Governing Council on the work of the fifteenth session, Official; A.4/15, May 1989.

UNEP, "Declarations made at the time of the Adoption of the Agreed Text of the Convention on Biological Diversity," UNEP, 1992. Text on file with U.S. Dept. of State, Wash., D.C.

UNEP, Report of the Governing Council on the work of the fifteenth session, 15-26 May 1989, Gland, Switzerland, UNEP Assembly Records: Forty-Fourth Session, Supplement No. 25, Official (A/44/25).

UNEP, Report of the Intergovernmental Negotiating Committee for a Convention on Biological Diversity, Nairobi, Kenya, Negotiating Session, UN Doc. UNEP/Bio.Div/N7-INC.5/4(1992).

UNGA Res. 2995 (XXVII) 15 December 1972, "Co-operation between States in the Field of the Environment."

United Nations Sub-Committee on Prevention of Discrimination and Protection of Minorities, UN E/CN.4/sub.2/1986/7 and adds. 1-4 (1986).

United Nations Report of the United Nations Conference on Environment and Development, Rio 3-14 June 1992, Proceedings of the Conference; A/CONF.151/Rev. 1 (Vol. II).

"UNDP: A Charter For Change, Part I-Visions and Goals" Working Paper, United Nations Development Programme, New York, October, 1993.

Human Rights. A Compilation of International Instruments, Universal Instruments, United Nations Vol. I (Second Part), 1994.

"Human Rights and the Environment", Commission on Human Rights, sub-Commission on Prevention of Discrimination and Protection of Minorities, E/CN.4/sub.2/1994/9, 6 July 1994.

### **United States Government**

Convention on Biological Diversity. Report submitted by the Committee on

Foreign Relations to the U.S. Senate, 103rd Cong., 2nd Sess., Exec. Rept. 103-30, 11 July 1994

"Letter of Transmittal from the President of the United States to the United States Senate," 7 October 1994, 12 GIELR 77 (1994).

Letter from the Secretary of State to the President, 23 September 1994, in 34

ILM 1393 (1995), US Dept. of State Dispatch Suppl. Vol. VI, Suppl. No. 1 (February 1995).

US President's Transmittal of the United Nations Convention on the Law of the

Sea and the Agreement Relating to the Implementation of Part XI to the US Senate with Comm October 1994, 34 ILM 1393 (1995).

"United States International Whaling Policy", U.S. Dept. of State, 1995. Text

obtained from E. Keen, U.S. Dept. of State, Wash., D.C.

Van Cleve, R. and R.W. Johnson, Management of the High Seas Fisheries of the Northeastern Part of Washington Publications in Fisheries, New Series, 2, No. 2, 1963 (Van Cleve and Johnson 1963)

Van Hoof, G.J.H., Rethinking the Sources of International Law, Kluwer Law and Taxation Publishers, Dordrecht, 1983 (Van Hoof 1983).

Vancaly, J.K., "Saving the Tropical Forest: Needs and Prognosis," 22 AMBIO 25 (June 1993) (Van

Vinogradov, S., "International Environmental Security : The Concept and its Implementation," p. 145 in Carty, A., and G. Danilenko, Perestroika and International Law, Edinburgh Univ. Press, Edinburgh, 1990 (Vinogradov 1990).

Walde, T., "Environmental Policies Towards Mining in Developing Countries," 10 Journal of Energy & Natural Resources Law, 327 (1992) (Walde 1992).

Weermantry, C.G., "The Right to Development," 25 Indian Journal of International Law 482 (1985) (Weermantry 1985).

Weermantry, C.G., Nauru, Environmental Damage under International Trusteeship, Oxford Univ. Press, Melbourne, 1992 (Weermantry 1992).

Weil, P., The Law of Maritime Delimitation-Reflections, Grotius Publications Ltd., Cambridge, 1989 (Weil 1989).

Wells, M., *et al.*, People and Parks : Linking Protected Areas Management with Local Communities, World Bank, Wash., D.C., 1992 (Wells *et al.* 1992).

Western, D., "Ecosystem Conservation and Rural Development : The Case of Amboseli," in Western, D., and R.M. Wright (eds.), Natural Perspectives in Community-Based Conservation, Island Press, Wash., D.C., 1994 (Western 1994).

Western, D. and R.M. Wright (ed.), S.C. Strum (associated ed.), Natural Connections Perspectives in Community-Based Conservation, Island Press, Wash., D.C., Covelo, California, 1994 (Western and Wright 1994).

Westin, R.A., "Intergenerational Equity and Third World Mining", 13 Univ. of Pennsylvania Journal of International Business Law, 181 (1992) (Westin 1992).

White, L., Jr., "The Historical Roots of Our Ecological Crisis," 155 Science 1203 (1967) (White 1967).

Wirth, D.A. "Reexamining Decision-Making Processes in International Environmental Law," 79 International Environmental Law Review 769 (1994).

### **World Bank Instruments (Texts obtained from the World Bank, Wash., D.C.)**

Operational Directive 14.70, 1989, "Involving Non-Governmental Organizations in Bank Support Operations."

Operational Directive, 4.00, Annex A, 1989, "Environmental Assessment."

Operational Directive 4.20, 1991, "Indigenous Peoples."

"Forest Policy Paper" 24 June 1991, Agriculture and Rural Development Dept., Policy, Research and Statistics Dept., World Bank, Wash., D.C.

Operational Policy 4.36, "Forestry", 1993.

Resettlement and Development, The Bankwide Review of Projects Involving Involuntary Resettlement, 1993, World Bank, Environment Department, April 1994.

World Bank, "Review of Implementation of the Forest Sector Policy", Wash., D.C., 5 December 1993.

The World Bank and The Environment: Legal Instruments for Achieving Environmental Objectives, Volume Five, draft, June 1995, Source: Office of I.F.I. Shihata, Senior Vice President and General Counsel, World Bank, Wash., D.C.

World Commission on Environment and Development, Our Common Future, Oxford Univ. Press, New York, 1987.

World Conservation Monitoring Centre, Global Biodiversity. Status of the Earth's Living Resources, Chapman and Hall, London, 1992.

World Conservation Union, Environmental Protection in Islam, 2<sup>nd</sup> Revised Ed., 1994 IUCN Environmental Policy and Law Paper No. 20 Rev., IUCN, Gland, Switzerland, 1994.

World Conservation Union, World Conservation Strategy. Living Resource Conservation for Sustainable Development, IUCN/UNEP/WWF, Gland, Switzerland, 1980.

World Development Report, 1992. Development and Environment, the World Bank, Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 1992.

World Resources Institute, World Resources 1992-93. Toward Sustainable Development, Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 1992.

World Resources Institute, Toward Sustainable Development : World Resources Institute 1992-1993, Oxford Univ. Press, Wash. D.C.

World Resources Institute, 1993 Information Please: Environmental Almanac, Wash., D.C., 1993.

World Resources Institute, Biodiversity Prospecting: Using Genetic Resources for Sustainable Development, Wash., D.C., 1993.

World Resources Institute, World Resources 1994-95, Oxford Univ. Press, New York, 1994.

Yale Law School, Earth Rights and Responsibilities. Human Rights and Environmental Protection Report, New Haven, Connecticut, April 1992.

Yamin, F., and D. Posey, "Indigenous Peoples, Biotechnology and Intellectual Property Rights," European Community and International Environmental Law 141 (1993) (Yamin and Posey 1993).

Zaelke, D. "Promoting Sustainable Development and Democracy in Central and Eastern Europe: The Role of the European Bank for Reconstruction and Development," 7 American Univ. Journal of International Law 559 (1992) (Zaelke 1992).

Zarzueta, A., Environmental Challenges in Latin America, Building Organizational Capacities, Center for International Forestry Research, London, 1993.

International Development and Environment, Issues in Development, WRI, Wash., D.C., July 1993).

Zarzueta, A., Policy Hits the Ground, Participation in Equity and Environmental Policy Making, WRI, Wash., D.C., September 1995 (Zarzueta 1995).

Zerner, C., Imagining Marine Resource Management Institutions in the Maluku Islands, Indonesia, 1992, prepared for the Liz Claiborne Art Ortenberg Foundation Community-Based Workshop, AI