

CONTENTS

<i>Acknowledgments</i>	<i>page</i> x
<i>Table of cases</i>	xiv
<i>Table of treaties and other international instruments</i>	lxvii
<i>Abbreviations for courts and tribunals cited</i>	lxxix
Introduction	1
1 Alienage	17
1.1 Accessing protection	23
1.1.1 Accessing a state party's jurisdiction	25
1.1.2 Unlawful arrival	28
1.2 Choice of the country of asylum	30
1.2.1 The legal basis for allocating protective responsibility	33
1.2.2 The duty to avoid <i>refoulement</i>	36
1.2.3 The duty to ensure respect for acquired rights	39
1.3 Determining the state of reference	49
1.3.1 Dual or multiple nationality	55
1.3.2 Inchoate nationality	57
1.3.3 Stateless persons	64
1.4 Refugees <i>sur place</i>	75
2 Well-founded fear	91
2.1 Implications of the traditional bipartite standard	93
2.2 Practical challenges of implementing the bipartite standard	95

2.2.1	The risky objectification of subjective fear	96
2.2.2	The equation of subjective fear with credibility	100
2.2.3	The creation of unwieldy exceptions	103
2.2.4	Assuming subjective fear	104
2.3	Fear understood as objective apprehension of risk	105
2.3.1	The literal meaning of “well-founded fear”	105
2.3.2	The object and purpose of “well-founded fear”	108
2.4	Well-founded apprehension of risk: stating the test	110
2.4.1	Risks in application of the test	115
2.4.2	Shared duty of fact-finding	118
2.4.3	Relevant evidence	121
2.5	Country of origin information	122
2.5.1	Unenforced persecutory laws	128
2.5.2	Improved respect for human rights	131
2.6	The claimant’s evidence	136
2.6.1	The assessment of credibility	138
2.6.2	Credibility implications of mode of departure, travel, or arrival	150
2.6.3	Corroboration	154
2.6.4	Procedural safeguards	157
2.7	Evidence of individuated past persecution	161
2.8	Evidence of risk to persons similarly situated	169
2.9	Assessing well-founded fear within the context of generalized risk	174
3	Serious harm	182
3.1	A bifurcated understanding of “being persecuted”	183
3.2	Identifying serious harm	186
3.2.1	The subjective approach rejected	186
3.2.2	The literalist approach rejected	190
3.2.3	Human rights as the benchmark	193
3.3	Physical security	208
3.3.1	Life	208
3.3.2	Torture or cruel, inhuman, or degrading treatment or punishment	211

3.3.3	Slavery, including trafficking and forced marriage	220
3.3.4	Physical violence	225
3.3.5	Adequate standard of living	228
3.3.6	Health	235
3.4	Liberty and freedom	238
3.4.1	Arrest and detention	239
3.4.2	Prosecution	243
3.4.3	Freedom of movement	247
3.4.4	Right to work	252
3.5	Autonomy and self-realization	260
3.5.1	Religion	262
3.5.2	Conscience and belief, including resistance to military service	268
3.5.3	Education	274
3.5.4	Expression and assembly	278
3.5.5	Family and marriage	281
3.5.6	Privacy	284
4	Failure of state protection	288
4.1	Protection is state protection	289
4.2	Failure of state protection as an element of “being persecuted”	292
4.2.1	Unwillingness to protect	297
4.2.2	Inability to protect	303
4.2.3	No presumption of state protection	319
4.2.4	Relevance of failure to seek protection	323
4.2.5	Relevance of general evidence of state protection	330
4.3	Internal protection alternative	332
4.3.1	The conceptual basis of the internal protection alternative	335
4.3.2	The test for assessing internal protection	342
	Accessibility	342
	Negation of original risk	344
	No new risk of being persecuted or of <i>refoulement</i>	347
	Minimum affirmative state protection	350

5 Nexus to civil or political status	362
5.1 “For reasons of”	363
5.2 The nature of the causal link	367
5.2.1 Intention of the persecutor	368
5.2.2 Intention of the persecutor or of the state	373
5.2.3 The predicament approach	376
5.3 Quantifying the causal link	382
5.4 The Convention grounds	390
5.5 Race	394
5.6 Nationality	397
5.7 Religion	399
5.8 Political opinion	405
5.8.1 Unexpressed political opinion	407
5.8.2 Political opinion implicit in conduct	409
5.9 Membership of a particular social group	423
5.9.1 Gender	436
5.9.2 Sexual orientation and gender identity	442
5.9.3 Family	445
5.9.4 Age	449
5.9.5 Disability	451
5.9.6 Economic or social class	452
5.9.7 Voluntary associations	455
5.9.8 Former status or association	458
6 Persons no longer needing protection	462
6.1 Persons who have secured national protection	463
6.1.1 Voluntary re-availment of national protection	464
6.1.2 Voluntary re-acquisition of nationality	470
6.1.3 Voluntary re-establishment in the country of origin	472
6.1.4 Change of circumstances	476
6.1.5 Acquisition of a new nationality	494

6.2	Persons who benefit from alternative forms of protection	499
6.2.1	Residence with the rights and obligations of nationals	500
6.2.2	United Nations protection or assistance	509
	“At present receiving . . . protection or assistance”	513
	Particularized exceptions to Art. 1(D) exclusion?	515
	<i>Ipsa facto</i> residual entitlement	517
	Relevance of UN protection or assistance to non-Palestinians	521
7	Persons not deserving protection	524
7.1	“Serious reasons for considering”	532
7.2	Serious common crimes	537
7.2.1	Justiciable crimes	543
7.2.2	Crimes committed abroad	544
7.2.3	Serious crimes	546
7.2.4	Non-political crimes	554
7.2.5	“Balancing” criminality and risk	562
7.3	International crimes	567
7.3.1	Crimes against peace	573
7.3.2	War crimes	575
7.3.3	Crimes against humanity	577
7.4	Acts contrary to the purposes and principles of the United Nations	586
	<i>Index</i>	599